



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/040,741	01/04/2002	David Betz	019223-001410US	3598
22434	90 01/11/2006		EXAMINER	
BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS LLP P.O. BOX 70250			REKSTAD, ERICK J	
OAKLAND, CA 94612-0250			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2613	

DATE MAILED: 01/11/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/040,741	BETZ ET AL.	
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Erick Rekstad	2613	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicate or Reply	on appears on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence add	ress
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communics of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, I reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMN CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, tion. y period will apply and will expire SIX (by statute, cause the application to bec	MUNICATION. may a reply be timely filed 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this corone ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status	ed patent term adjustment. Occ or or in the top.			
1) 🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n 25 October 2005.		
•	•	This action is non-final.		
, —	Since this application is in condition for	allowance except for forma	I matters, prosecution as to the	merits is
	closed in accordance with the practice u			
Disposit	ion of Claims			
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-5,9,15-18 and 21-26</u> is/are p	ending in the application.		
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w		n.	
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
-	Claim(s) 1-5, 9, 15-18, and 21-26 is/are	rejected.		
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requireme	nt.	
Applicat	ion Papers			
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.		
·—	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		ed to by the Examiner.	
,	Applicant may not request that any objection			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the			R 1.121(d).
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by			
Priority :	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority under 35 U	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f)	
•	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	andor oo or		
	1.1 Certified copies of the brioniv doc	uments have been receive	d.	
•	<u> </u>	uments have been receive uments have been receive		
•	2. Certified copies of the priority doc	uments have been receive	d in Application No	Stage
.,	2. Certified copies of the priority doc3. Copies of the certified copies of the	uments have been receive ne priority documents have	d in Application No been received in this National S	Stage
·	2. Certified copies of the priority doc3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International	euments have been receive ne priority documents have Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	d in Application No been received in this National \$.	Stage
	2. Certified copies of the priority doc3. Copies of the certified copies of the	euments have been receive ne priority documents have Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	d in Application No been received in this National \$.	Stage
	2. Certified copies of the priority doc3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International	euments have been receive ne priority documents have Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	d in Application No been received in this National \$.	Stage
* (Certified copies of the priority doc Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for the internation for the	euments have been receive ne priority documents have Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	d in Application No been received in this National \$.	Stage
* (Attachmen 1)	Certified copies of the priority doc Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for the acti	euments have been receivence priority documents have Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)) or a list of the certified copie	d in Application No been received in this National \$.	Stage
* (Attachmen 1) \(\bigcup \) Notic 2) \(\bigcup \) Notic	2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for the action	euments have been receivence priority documents have Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)) or a list of the certified copie (4) Interest.	d in Application No been received in this National S s not received. rview Summary (PTO-413) per No(s)/Mail Date	
* (* (Attachmen 1)	Certified copies of the priority doc Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for the acti	euments have been receivence priority documents have Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)) or a list of the certified copie (4) Interest.	d in Application No been received in this National S s not received. rview Summary (PTO-413) ler No(s)/Mail Date lice of Informal Patent Application (PTO	

Application/Control Number: 10/040,741 Page 2

Art Unit: 2613

DETAILED ACTION

This is a Final Rejection for application no. 10/040,741 in response to the amendment filed on 25 October 2005 where in claims 1-5, 9, 15-18, and 21-26 are presented for examination.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 25 October 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicant argues that US Patent 5,600,775 to King teaches annotation of full motion digital video frames "without modifications to the original video information" separately storing the annotations and video information and, during playback, the annotations are displayed on the original frames. The applicant states that "in contrast, the invention describes a video presentation tool kit for annotating a portion of a video frame by modifying the video frame information corresponding to the designated video frame portion. In this way, there is no requirement to separately store and retrieve the annotations during playback as mandated by King."

As stated in the previous Office Action, King teaches the modifying of the video by presenting text over the video presented to the user (Col 5 Lines 32-51). Therefore the original unaltered video information is modified to include the annotation to the output. Therefore this output video is a modified video and satisfies the requirements of the independent claims. It is suggested by the examiner to further limit the claims in order to overcome the prior art.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 4, 9, 15, 16, 21, 24, and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent 5,600,775 to King et al.

[claims 1, 4, 9, 21, 24 and 26]

As shown in Figure 1, King teaches a video presentation tool kit and method for creating an annotated video presentation formed of a number of linearly associated video frames; comprising:

A user activated designation tool for designating at least a portion of at least one of the number of video frames (Col 4 Lines 44-57);

An annotator tool for annotating the designated video frame portion (25 Fig 1, Col 5 Lines 26-31, Col 6 Lines 8-14); and

And authoring tool arranged to provide additional effects to enhance the designated video frame portion (Col 5 Lines 32-52).

Further, King teaches the designation tool is a pointer icon as required by claim 4 (27 Fig 1, Col 4 Lines 64-65).

As shown in Figure 1, King further teaches the user activated designation tool is activated by way of a user provided command via an input device selected from a group consisting of a graphics tablet, a keyboard, a joystick and a microphone as required by claim 9 (17-19 Fig 1, Col 4 Lines 15-16, Col 4 Line 58-Col 5 Line 6).

[claim 15]

As shown in Figure 1, King teaches a system for creating commentaries associated with a video formed of a number of linearly associated video frames, the system comprising:

A display (13);

An interpreter (10) for receiving commands from a user activated designation tool (17 and 18) for designating at least a portion of at least one of the number of video frames,

An annotator tool for annotating the designated video frame portion (15),

And an authoring tool arranged to provide additional effects to enhance the designated video frame portion (15);

And wherein the commands are associated with video presented on the display;

A memory element storing a computer executable code operable to (Col 4 Lines 20-24):

Receive the commands from the interpreter;

Indicate a segment of the video; and

Format the commands as a computer executable commentary associated with the segment of the video (Col 2 Lines 1-15, Col 4 Line 25-Col 5 Line 6).

[claim 16]

King further teaches the means of adding annotations to a media file and then viewing the annotations with a media file (Col 5 Lines 7-Col 6 Line 7, Fig. 2). It is interpreted by the examiner that by King playing the media file with the annotations.

King is performing an emulation and displaying the results as shown in window (56) of Figure 2.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over King.

King teaches the system of claim 16 as shown above. King does not specifically teach the display providing a first window wherein at least a portion of the video is displayed in the first display window absent annotations and the commentary is displayed in the second display window, and wherein the commentary as displayed comprises at least a portion of the video title and an associated annotation. It is well known in the art to provide a developer both an original view and an edited view in order to determine if the editing is desirable (Official Notice). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide a display containing a first window absent of annotations and a second window containing commentary as it is well known in the art to provide a developer an original and an edited view (Official Notice).

Claims 5, 18, and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over King in view of US Patent 6,507,696 to Chung et al.

[claims 5 and 25]

King teaches the tool kit of claim 1 and method of claim 21 as shown above.

King further teaches the kit provides an add verbal command and an add graphic command (Col 5 Lines 32-51, Fig. 2). King does not teach the use of a linking tool that links the designated portion to a vista point that provides an enhanced view of a corresponding portion of the designated portion.

Chung teaches the use of providing the user with several options while viewing a digital video. One option is to view an enhanced video (Viewing Angle, Color Adjust) (Col 3 Line 45-Col 4 Line 6, Figs 2 and 3). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include the vista point commands (chapter jump and viewing angle) of Chung with the system of King in order to provide more options to the viewer while watching a digital video.

[claim 18]

King teaches the system of claim 15 as shown above. King further teaches the system provides an add verbal command and an add graphic command (Col 5 Lines 32-51, Fig. 2). King does not teach the use of an add vista point command.

Chung teaches the use of providing the user with several options while viewing a digital video. The options include Chapter Jump, Editor's Comments, Viewing Angle, Volume Adjust, and Color Adjust (Col 3 Line 45-Col 4 Line 6, Figs 2 and 3). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include the vista point commands (chapter jump and viewing angle) of Chung with the system of King in order to provide more options to the viewer while watching a digital video.

Application/Control Number: 10/040,741 Page 7

Art Unit: 2613

Claims 2, 3, 22 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over King in view of Chung and US Patent 6,144,375 to Jain et al. [claims 2, 3, 22 and 23]

As shown above, King teaches the tool kit of claim 1. King further teaches the additional effects include a verbal or textual commentary effect, frame freeze effect (pause), and frame drawing effect (Col 5 Lines 7-18 and 32-52, Fig. 2). King does not teach the zoom effect or the color correction effect.

Chung teaches the use of providing the user the option to change Viewing Angle and Color Adjust (Col 3 Line 45-Col 4 Line 6, Figs 2 and 3). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include the vista point commands (chapter jump and viewing angle) of Chung with the system of King in order to provide more options to the viewer while watching a digital video. Though Chung teaches an angle effect, Chung does not teach the zoom effect.

Jain teaches the highlight creation system in which the user can zoom in on an image (406) and display the zoomed image along with media related to the image in a separate window (402) as required by claim 3 (Col 16 Lines 39-43, Col 20 Lines 6-11, Col 24 Lines 6-24 and 58-61). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the zoom feature of Jain with the system of King and Chung in order to provide a user with a close up image of a scene along with audio commentary as taught by Jain.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Page 8

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Erick Rekstad whose telephone number is 571-272-7338. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mehrdad Dastouri can be reached on 571-272-7418. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Erick Rekstad Examiner AU 2613 (571) 272-7338

erick.rekstad@uspto.gov